1	James Q. McDermott (SBN 192572) jmcdermott@fcoplaw.com John A. Hribar (SBN 229692) jhribar@fcoplaw.com FERGUSON CASE ORR PATERSON LLP		
2			
3			
4	1050 South Kimball Road   Ventura, CA 93004		
5	Telephone: (805) 659-6800 Fax Number: (805) 659-6818		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	AUTOMOTIVE RACING PRODUCTS, INC., a California	Case No. CV 10-02884 JAK (JCx)	
11	Corporation,	CONSENT JUDGMENT AND	
12	Plaintiff	PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
13	V.	JS-6	
14	PROCOMP ELECTRONICS, INC., a California Corporation, and		
15	PROCOMP MOTORSPORTS, INC., a California Corporation,		
16 17	Defendants.		
18	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Automotive Racing Products, Inc. ("Automotive Racing		
19	Products") and Defendants Procomp Electronics, Inc. ("Procomp Electronics") and		
20	Procomp Motorsports, Inc. ("Procomp Motorsports"), collectively "Procomp," have		
21	agreed in a separate confidential agreement to settlement of the matters in issue		
22	between them and to the entry of this Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction, it		
23	is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:		
24	1. This is an action for: (1) federal trademark infringement, federal false		
25	designation of origin, false description, false advertising, and unfair competition		
26	under section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq.; (2)		
27	statutory unfair competition under California Business and Professions Code §		
28	17200, et seq.; and (3) unjust enrichment.		
	, 1, (-, 5	1	
- 1		1	

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over all of the parties in this action and over the subject matter in issue based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and (b), and 1367, as well as 15 U.S.C. § 1121. This Court has continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).
- 3. Plaintiff Automotive Racing Products is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 1863 Eastman Avenue, Ventura, California 93003.
- 4. Defendant Procomp is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 605 S. Milliken Avenue, Unit A, Ontario, California 91761.
- 5. For many years, and prior to the acts of Procomp complained of herein, Automotive Racing Products has produced a wide range of high-quality bolts, fasteners, and other parts and accessories for automobiles under distinctive trademarks. Automotive Racing Products began its use of ARP® ("the ARP mark") on some of its products in 1975 or earlier, and began using 2000® as a trademark ("the 2000 mark") in about 1984 or earlier. Through substantial use and promotion, "the ARP mark" and "the 2000 mark" have become well-known throughout the automotive industry to represent the high-quality of Automotive Racing Products' goods.
- 6. Automotive Racing Products is the owner of and has the right to enforce United States Trademark Registration No. 1,472,833 for "the ARP mark" and United States Trademark Registration No. 2,812,419 for "the 2000 mark." Collectively, these marks are referred to as "the Automotive Racing Products Marks." Copies of the federal registrations are attached hereto as <a href="Exhibits 1 & 2">Exhibits 1 & 2</a>. These registrations remain in full force and effect and are incontestable.
- 7. Plaintiff became aware that, around 2008, Procomp began selling fasteners bearing the "2000" trademark but such fasteners were not made by or

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

associated with Automotive Racing Products. When Automotive Racing Products demanded in March 2009 that the Defendants cease and desist using its registered trademarks, Procomp agreed to immediately cease using the marks—including modifying its stamping pattern containing the mark, removing any infringing products from its stock, and terminating use of the mark to promote, market, sell or distribute comparable components produced by the Defendants.

- 8. Procomp denies any wrongdoing, but in order to resolve this dispute, agrees to the terms herein; and
- 9. Automotive Racing Products and Procomp have agreed to the following Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction subject to this Court's approval.
- 10. Procomp, their officers, directors, employees, and attorneys, and all persons in active concert and participation with them who receive actual notice of this Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction, are hereby permanently enjoined from engaging in any of the following activities:
- (a) using the Automotive Racing Products Marks or any other mark, design, reproduction, copy, or symbol that is a colorable imitation thereof, or confusingly similar thereto, in connection with the manufacture, marketing, distribution, advertisement, promotion, offering for sale, and/or sale of bolts, fasteners, or other parts and accessories for automobiles, or any goods or services not originating from or authorized by Plaintiff Automotive Racing Parts;
- (b) using the Automotive Racing Products Marks or any other mark, design, reproduction, copy, or symbol that is a colorable imitation thereof, in any manner likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive the consuming public;
- (c) representing in any manner that the Infringing Products originate from, are affiliated with, or are sponsored, approved, or authorized by Automotive Racing Products or otherwise taking any action likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the origin, approval, sponsorship, or certification of goods, services or

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
2		
3	Dated: July 15, 2011  By:	
4	Bu JM /	
5	JOHN A. KRONSTADT	
6	United States District Judge	
7	AUTOMOTIVE RACING PRODUCTS, INC.	
8	By:	
9	Mike Holzapfel, President	
10		
11	PROCOMP ELECTRONICS, INC. and PROCOMP MOTORSPORTS, INC.	
12	D.	
13	By: Peco Kencevski, President	
14	1 cco Rencevski, i resident	
15	A	
16	Approved as to form and content:	
17	Datada Juna 2011 EEDCUSON CASE ODD DATEDSON LLD	
18	Dated: June, 2011 FERGUSON CASE ORR PATERSON LLP	
19	D	
20	By: James Q. McDermott Attorneys for Plaintiff AUTOMOTIVE RACING PRODUCTS, INC.	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff AUTOMOTIVE RACING	
22		
23	Dated: June, 2011 SCOTT HULSE PC	
24	D	
25	By:Francisco Ortega	
26	Attorneys for Defendants	
27	PROCOMP ELECTRONICS, INC. and PROCOMP MOTORSPORTS, INC.	
28		